

March 29, 2017

Mr. AnthonyRendon Speakerof the Assembly P.O. Box 942849, Room 219 Sacramento, CA94249

DearSpeaker Rendon

We understand that the California State Assembly is considering a proposal to punish certain companies, and by extension, their employees, for working for the United States government 7 K H V S H F L I L F S U R S R V D O W R Z K L F K Z H U H I H U L V R Q H W K D W investing in companies that participated in the construction redictors ecurity projects along the southern border of the United States.

It is not our place to criticize or to defend the decision to call for the construction of that public infrastructureWe do, however, have a direct, immediate and vigorous inter**defen**ding our PHPEHU¶VZKROO\OHJLWLPDWHLQWkHdvJthlevfevGerblQSHUIRUPLQJ government.

Construction professionals, including craft workers, are no different from anyone else. They have children to clothe and educated mortgage to pay And our members rightly strive to provide them with steady employmentothing could be less fair than discriminating against these good men and women because you disagree with federal border petianity While you are welcome to dispute the sdom of that policy, and to express your views, you are not welcome to take our members hostage.

You would be equally well advised to appreciate that such discrimination is unlatweutourts have long recognized that state and local jurisdictions outdawfully discriminate against the federal government those with whom it deals lor do they have the right to obstruct federal policy. As surely as you can count on the federal courts to strike down other unlawful forms of discrimination and obstruction, you should count on the courts to strike down the measure that the California State Assembly now considering.

7 KH SURSRVHG OLWPXV WHVW IRU DQ\RQH KRSLQJ WR UHFF funds would also increase your costs of doing increase and disserve your taxpayers. Indeed, it would be likely to limit the number of companies competing for and performing your work at least as much as it limited the number competing for and performing federal contracts along the

southern bordelt would also beshortsightedOnce the California State Assembly adopted such a test, other jurisdictions would have relatively free rein to use their pension systefthætoce your decision makingAny jurisdiction that disagreed with any one or moregoular public policies would be far less reticent to discriminateinægæny firm working for youThe rash action that the California State Assembly is considering would put you on a slippery slope that California would be well advised to avoid.

We harbor no doubt that state and local officials should have complete freedom to air their views of the value and wisdom of federal investments **fraist**ructure and public work**A**t the same time, there is no place for unfair, unlawful and unwise discrition against the good men and women in the construction industry. They are not pawhee are entitled to pursue their livelihoods as fully and freely as anyone else, and without being drawn into dispattese not of their making.

We therefore urge y